

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,006 09/04/200		4/2003	Brian Peng	JCLA11225 8164		
23900	7590	10/28/2005		EXAMINER		
J C PATENT	•		TERESINSKI, JOHN			
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
22.2.2, 2.2. 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.						

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11			
Office Action Summary		10/656,006	PENG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Teresinski	2858				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	is			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	uaust 2005.					
•		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the me	nits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0101391 to Man et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,663,966 to Day et al..

Regarding claim 8, Man et al. disclose a system for testing multiple devices on a single system and method having a testing base (Fig. 4, element 420), having at least one chip socket (434) for plugging the DVD ROM chip-set (paragraph 13), and a connector (425) for coupling to a testing device of the DVD ROM chipset, wherein the testing device provides a digital input signal/command signals (paragraph 13). Man et al. does not explicitly disclose the claimed first, second, third and fourth phase -shift RF-signals wherein the first and second phase shift RF signals are in phase and are differed by a phase shift from the third and fourth phase shift RF signals. Day et al. disclose a system and method for scan based testing including a testing device providing a digital input signal with varied frequency (column 8 lines 8-14), a phase-shift RFsignal generating circuit (column 8 lines 13-45), according to the digital data input signal, for generating a first phase-shift RF-signal, a second phase-shift RF signal, a third phase-shift RF signal, and a fourth phase-shift RF signal for testing a chipset/chip wherein the first phase-shift

Art Unit: 2858

RF signal and the second phase-shift RF signal are in phase, and are differed by a phase shift from the third phase-shift RF signal and fourth phase-shift RF signal (column 8 lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the phase-shift RF signal generating circuits as taught by Day et al. into Man et al. for the purpose of allow concurrent testing of multiple section of one or more chips under scan test and to reduce simultaneous switching.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument that Man et al. does not teach suggest or disclose testing a DVD ROM chipset or testing an analog circuit block of DVD ROM chipset (page 6 of arguments), the examiner disagrees. Applicant is referred to Man et al. (paragraph 13) for testing a DVD ROM chipset, further claim 1 of the instant application does not recite testing an analog circuit block of DVD Rom chipset as argued by applicants representative.

In response to applicant's argument that the combination of Man et al. in view of Day et al. is incorrect and unreasonable to accept, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of Art Unit: 2858

a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

In response to applicants argument that Man et al. in view of Day et al. does not teach suggest or disclose applicants analog phase shift analog-typed first through fourth phase-shift RF signal (page 9 of arguments), the examiner disagrees. Applicant is referred to claim 1 of the instant application which does not recite analog phase shift analog-typed first through fourth phase-shift RF signal.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,006

Art Unit: 2858

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.L. TI

October 24, 2005

ANJAN DEB

frijenlu) b